Minor summation formula and a proof of Stanley's open problem

Masao Ishikawa

Faculty of Education, Tottori University
Koyama, Tottori, Japan
`ishikawa@fed.tottori-u.ac.jp`

Abstract

In the open problem session of the FPSAC'03, R.P. Stanley gave an open problem about a certain sum of the Schur functions (See [20]). The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this open problem. The proof consists of three steps. At the first step we express the sum by a Pfaffian as an application of our minor summation formula ([8]). In the second step we prove a Pfaffian analogue of Cauchy type identity which generalize [23]. Then we give a proof of Stanley's open problem in Section 4. At the end of this paper we present certain corollaries obtained from this identity involving the Big Schur functions and some polynomials arising from the Macdonald polynomials, which generalize Stanley's open problem.

Keywords. Schur functions, determinants, Pfaffians, minor summation formula of Pfaffians.

1 Introduction

In the open problem session of the 15th Anniversary International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Vadstena, Sweden, 25 June 2003), R.P. Stanley gave an open problem on a sum of Schur functions with a weight including four parameters, i.e. Theorem 1.1 (See [20]). The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this open problem. In the process of our proof, we obtain a Pfaffian identity, i.e. Theorem 3.1, which generalize the Pfaffian identities in [23]. Note that certain determinant and Pfaffian identities of this type first appeared in [16], and applied to solve some alternating sign matrices enumerations under certain symmetries stated in [13]. Certain conjectures which intensively generalize the determinant and Pfaffian identities of this type were stated in [18], and a proof of the conjectured determinant and Pfaffian identities was given in [7]. Now we know that various methods may be adopted to prove this type of identity. We can prove it algebraically using Dodgson's formula or the usual expansion formula of Pfaffians. Here we state an analytic proof since this proof is due to the author and is not stated in other places. Our proof proceeds by three steps. In the first step we utilize the minor summation formula ([8]) to express the sum of Schur functions as a Pfaffian. In the second step we express the Pfaffian

by a determinant using a Cauchy type Pfaffian formula (also see [17], [18] and [7]), and try to simplify it as much as possible. In the process of this step, it is conceivable that the determinants we treat may be closely related to characters of representations of SP_{2n} and SO_m (See [4], [6] and [9]). In the final step we complete our proof using a key proposition, i.e. Proposition 4.1 (See [19] and [22]). At the end of this paper we state some corollaries which generalize Stanley's open problem to the big Schur functions, and to certain polynomials arising from the Macdonald polynomials. Furthermore, in the forthcoming paper [10], we study a finite version of Boulet's theorem and present certain relations with orthogonal polynomials and the basic hypergeometric series. In the paper we find more applications of the Pfaffain expression of Stanley's weight $\omega(\lambda)$ obtained in this paper, and also study a certain summation of Schur's Q-functions weighted by $\omega(\lambda)$.

We follow the notation in [15] concerning symmetric functions. In this paper we use a symmetric function f in n variables $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, which is usually written as $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and also a symmetric function f in countably many variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$, which is written as $f(x)$ (for detailed description of the ring of symmetric functions in countably many variables, see [15], I, sec.2). To simplify this notation we express the n-tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by X_n, and sometimes simply write $f(X_n)$ for $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. When the number of variables is finite and there is no fear of confusion what this number is, we simply write X for X_n in abbreviation. Thus $f(x)$ is in countably many variables, but $f(X)$ is in finitely many variables and the number of variables is clear from the assumption.

Given a partition λ, define $\omega(\lambda)$ by

$$\omega(\lambda) = a^{\sum_{i \geq 1} \lceil \lambda_{2i-1}/2 \rceil} b^{\sum_{i \geq 1} \lfloor \lambda_{2i-1}/2 \rfloor} c^{\sum_{i \geq 1} \lceil \lambda_{2i}/2 \rceil} d^{\sum_{i \geq 1} \lfloor \lambda_{2i}/2 \rfloor},$$

where a, b, c and d are indeterminates, and $\lceil x \rceil$ (resp. $\lfloor x \rfloor$) stands for the smallest (resp. largest) integer greater (resp. less) than or equal to x for a given real number x. For example, if $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1)$ then $\omega(\lambda)$ is the product of the entries in the following diagram for λ.

a	b	a	b	a
c	d	c	d	
a	b	a	b	
c				

Let $s_\lambda(x)$ denote the Schur function corresponding to a partition λ. R. P. Stanley gave the following conjecture in the open problem session of FPSAC'03.

Theorem 1.1. Let

$$z = \sum_\lambda \omega(\lambda) s_\lambda.$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions λ. Then we have

$$\log z - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2n} a^n (b^n - c^n) p_{2n} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4n} a^n b^n c^n d^n p_{2n}^2$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_3, p_5, \ldots]]. \qquad (1.1)$$

Here $p_r = \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i^r$ denote the rth power sum symmetric function.

As a special case of this open problem, if we put $b = c = a^{-1}$ and $d = a$, and check the constant term of the both sides, then we obtain the following simple case:

Corollary 1.2. Let

$$y = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \lambda, \lambda' \text{ even}}} s_\lambda(x).$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions λ such that λ and λ' are even partitions (i.e. with all parts even). Then we have

$$\log y - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4n} p_{2n}^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots]]. \tag{1.2}$$

In the rest of this section we briefly recall the definition of Pfaffians. For a detailed explanation of Pfaffians, the reader can consult [11] and [21]. Let n be a non-negative integer and assume we are given a $2n$ by $2n$ skew-symmetric matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$, (i.e. $a_{ji} = -a_{ij}$), whose entries a_{ij} are in a commutative ring. The *Pfaffian* of A is, by definition,

$$\mathrm{Pf}(A) = \sum \epsilon(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2n-1}, \sigma_{2n}) \, a_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \dots a_{\sigma_{2n-1} \sigma_{2n}}.$$

where the summation is over all partitions $\{\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}_<, \dots, \{\sigma_{2n-1}, \sigma_{2n}\}_<\}$ of $[2n]$ into 2-elements blocks, and where $\epsilon(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2n-1}, \sigma_{2n})$ denotes the sign of the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2n \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We call a partition $\{\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}_<, \dots, \{\sigma_{2n-1}, \sigma_{2n}\}_<\}$ of $[2n]$ into 2-elements blocks a *matching* or 1-*factor* of $[2n]$.

2 Minor Summation Formula

First we restrict our attention to the finite variables case. Let n be a non-negative integer. We put

$$y_n = y_n(X_{2n}) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \lambda, \lambda' \text{ even}}} s_\lambda(X_{2n}) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \lambda, \lambda' \text{ even}}} s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}). \tag{2.1}$$

where $s_\lambda(X_{2n})$ is the Schur function corresponding to a partition λ in $2n$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$. Then there is a known formula which is originally due to Littlewood as follows. (See [23]).

$$y_n(X_{2n}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}(x_i - x_j)} \, \mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{x_i - x_j}{1 - x_i^2 x_j^2}\right]_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}. \tag{2.2}$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem which generalize this identity.

Theorem 2.1. Let n be a positive integer and let $\omega(\lambda)$ be as defined in Section 1. Let

$$z_n = z_n(X_{2n}) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq 2n} \omega(\lambda) s_\lambda(X_{2n}) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq 2n} \omega(\lambda) s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$$

$$\tag{2.3}$$

be the sum restricted to $2n$ variables. Then we have

$$z_n(X_{2n}) = \frac{(abcd)^{-\binom{n}{2}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}(x_i - x_j)} \operatorname{Pf}(p_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where p_{ij} is defined by

$$p_{ij} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x_i + ax_i^2 & 1 - a(b+c)x_i - abcx_i^3 \\ x_j + ax_j^2 & 1 - a(b+c)x_j - abcx_j^3 \end{vmatrix}}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2x_j^2)}. \qquad (2.5)$$

Let m, n and r be integers such that $r \leq m, n$ and let T be an m by n matrix. For any index sets $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}_< \subseteq [m]$ and $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}_< \subseteq [n]$, let $\Delta_J^I(A)$ denote the submatrix obtained by selecting the rows indexed by I and the columns indexed by J. If $r = m$ and $I = [m]$, we simply write $\Delta_J(A)$ for $\Delta_J^{[m]}(A)$. Similarly, if $r = n$ and $J = [n]$, we write $\Delta^I(A)$ for $\Delta_{[n]}^I(A)$. For any finite set S and a non-negative integer r, let $\binom{S}{r}$ denote the set of all r-element subsets of S. We cite a theorem from [8] which we call a minor summation formula:

Theorem 2.2. Let n and N be non-negative integers such that $2n \leq N$. Let $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq j \leq N}$ be a $2n$ by N rectangular matrix, and let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ be a skew-symmetric matrix of size N. Then

$$\sum_{I \in \binom{[N]}{2n}} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\Delta_I^I(A)\right) \det\left(\Delta_I(T)\right) = \operatorname{Pf}\left(TA\,{}^tT\right).$$

If we put $Q = (Q_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = TA\,{}^tT$, then its entries are given by

$$Q_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} a_{kl} \det\left(\Delta_{kl}^{ij}(T)\right), \qquad (1 \leq i, j \leq 2n).$$

Here we write $\Delta_{kl}^{ij}(T)$ for $\Delta_{\{kl\}}^{\{ij\}}(T) = \begin{vmatrix} t_{ik} & t_{il} \\ t_{jk} & t_{jl} \end{vmatrix}$. $\square$

Before we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we cite a lemma from [8]. The proof is not difficult, but we omit the proof and the reader can consult [8], Section 4, Lemma 7.

Lemma 2.3. Let x_i and y_j be indeterminates, and let n be a non-negative integer. Then

$$\operatorname{Pf}[x_iy_j]_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_{2i-1} \prod_{i=1}^n y_{2i}. \,\square \qquad (2.6)$$

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ satisfying $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$, we associate a decreasing sequence $\lambda + \delta_m$ which is usually denoted by $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_m)$, where $\delta_m = (m-1, m-2, \ldots, 0)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n})$ be a partition such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq 2n$, and put $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_{2n}) = \lambda + \delta_{2n}$. Define a $2n$ by $2n$ skew-symmetric matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$ by

$$\alpha_{ij} = a^{\lceil (l_i-1)/2 \rceil} b^{\lfloor (l_i-1)/2 \rfloor} c^{\lceil l_j/2 \rceil} d^{\lfloor l_j/2 \rfloor}$$

for $i < j$, and as $\alpha_{ji} = -\alpha_{ij}$ holds for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = (abcd)^{\binom{n}{2}}\omega(\lambda).$$

4

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathrm{Pf}[A] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} a^{\lceil (l_{2i-1}-1)/2 \rceil} b^{\lfloor (l_{2i-1}-1)/2 \rfloor} \prod_{j=1}^{n} c^{\lceil l_{2j}/2 \rceil} d^{\lfloor l_{2j}/2 \rfloor}.$$

Since $l_{2i-1} - 1 = \lambda_{2i-1} + 2(n-i)$ and $l_{2j} = \lambda_{2j} + 2(n-j)$, this Pfaffian becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} a^{\lceil \lambda_{2i-1}/2 \rceil + n - i} b^{\lfloor \lambda_{2i-1}/2 \rfloor + n - i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} c^{\lceil \lambda_{2j}/2 \rceil + n - j} d^{\lfloor \lambda_{2j}/2 \rfloor + n - j},$$

which is easily seen to be $(abcd)^{\binom{n}{2}} \omega(\lambda)$. $\square$

Now we are in the position to give a proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 2.2 it is enough to compute

$$\beta_{ij} = \sum_{k \geq l \geq 0} a^{\lceil (k-1)/2 \rceil} b^{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor} c^{\lceil l/2 \rceil} d^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \begin{vmatrix} x_i^k & x_i^l \\ x_j^k & x_j^l \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let $f_{kl}^{ij} = a^{\lceil (k-1)/2 \rceil} b^{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor} c^{\lceil l/2 \rceil} d^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \begin{vmatrix} x_i^k & x_i^l \\ x_j^k & x_j^l \end{vmatrix}$, then, this sum can be divided into four cases, i.e.

$$\beta_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{k=2r+1,\, l=2s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} + \sum_{\substack{k=2r,\, l=2s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} + \sum_{\substack{k=2r+1,\, l=2s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} + \sum_{\substack{k=2r+2,\, l=2s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij}.$$

We compute each case:

(i) If $k = 2r + 1$ and $l = 2s$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2r+1,\, l=2s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} = \sum_{r \geq s \geq 0} a^r b^r c^s d^s \begin{vmatrix} x_i^{2r+1} & x_i^{2s} \\ x_j^{2r+1} & x_j^{2s} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{r \geq s \geq 0} c^s d^s \begin{vmatrix} \frac{a^s b^s x_i^{2s+1}}{1 - abx_i^2} & x_i^{2s} \\ \frac{a^s b^s x_j^{2s+1}}{1 - abx_j^2} & x_j^{2s} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{(x_i - x_j)(1 + abx_i x_j)}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

In the same way we obtain the followings by straight forward computations.

(ii) If $k = 2r$ and $l = 2s$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2r,\, l=2s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} = \frac{a(x_i^2 - x_j^2)}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

(iii) If $k = 2r + 1$ and $l = 2s + 1$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2r+1,\, l=2s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} = \frac{abcx_i x_j (x_i^2 - x_j^2)}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

(iv) If $k = 2r + 2$ and $l = 2s + 1$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{k=2r+2,\, l=2s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{kl}^{ij} = \frac{acx_i x_j (x_i - x_j)(1 + abx_i x_j)}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

5

Summing up these four identities, we obtain

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{(x_i - x_j)\{1 + abx_i x_j + a(x_i + x_j) + abcx_i x_j(x_i + x_j) + acx_i x_j(1 + abx_i x_j)\}}{(1 - abx_i^2)(1 - abx_j^2)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

It is easy to see the numerator is written by the determinant, and this completes the proof. $\square$

3 Cauchy Type Pfaffian Formulas

The aim of this section is to derive (3.4). In the next section we will use this identity to prove Stanley's open problem. First we prove a fundamental Pfaffian identity, i.e. Theorem 3.1, and deduce all the identities in this section from this theorem. In the latter half of this section we also show that we can derive Sundquist's Pfaffian identities obtained in [23] from our theorem although these identities have no direct relation to Stanley's open problem. In that sense our theorem can be regarded as a generalization of Sundquist's Pfaffian identities (See [23]). An intensive generalization was conjectured in [18] and proved in [7]. There must be several ways to prove this type of identity. In [23] Sundquist gave a combinatorial proof of his Pfaffian identities. In [7] the authors adopted an algebraic method to prove identities of this type. Here we give an analytic proof of our theorem, in which we regard both sides of this identity as meromorphic functions and check the Laurent series expansion at each isolated pole in the Riemann sphere. The idea to use complex analysis to prove various determinant and Pfaffian identities is first hinted by Prof. H. Kawamuko to the author, and the author recognized this can be a powerful tool to prove various identities including determinants and Pfaffians. This idea was also used to prove a Pfaffian-Hafnian analogue of Borchardt's identity in [5]. In this section, we first state our theorems and later give proofs of them.

First we fix notation. Let n be an non-negative integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n})$, $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ and $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Set $V_{ij}^n(X, Y; A, B)$ to be

$$\begin{cases} a_i x_i^{n-j} y_i^{j-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ b_i x_i^{2n-j} y_i^{j-n-1} & \text{if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2n, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, and define $V^n(X, Y; A, B)$ by

$$V^n(X, Y; A, B) = \det \left(V_{ij}^n(X, Y; A, B) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}.$$

For example, if $n = 1$, then we have $V^1(X, Y; A, B) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix}$, and if $n = 2$, then $V^2(X, Y; A, B)$ looks as follows:

$$V^2(X, Y; A, B) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 x_1 & a_1 y_1 & b_1 x_1 & b_1 y_1 \\ a_2 x_2 & a_2 y_2 & b_2 x_2 & b_2 y_2 \\ a_3 x_3 & a_3 y_3 & b_3 x_3 & b_3 y_3 \\ a_4 x_4 & a_4 y_4 & b_4 x_4 & b_4 y_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let n be a positive integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n})$, $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$, $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_{2n})$, $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_{2n})$ and $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ a_j & b_j \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} c_i & d_i \\ c_j & d_j \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_j & y_j \end{vmatrix}}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = \frac{V^n(X,Y;A,B)V^n(X,Y;C,D)}{\displaystyle\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_j & y_j \end{vmatrix}}. \tag{3.1}$$

The following proposition is obtained easily by elementary transformations of the matrices and we will prove it later.

Proposition 3.2. Let n be a positive integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a $2n$-tuple of variables and let t be an indeterminate. Then

$$V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; X, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (x_i - x_j), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the $2n$-tuple $(1, \ldots, 1)$, and $\mathbf{1} + tX^2$ denotes the $2n$-tuple $(1 + tx_1^2, \ldots, 1 + tx_{2n}^2)$.

Let t be an arbitrary indeterminate. If we set $y_i = 1 + tx_i^2$ in (3.1), then

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_i & 1 + tx_i^2 \\ x_j & 1 + tx_j^2 \end{vmatrix} = (x_i - x_j)(1 - tx_ix_j)$$

and (3.2) immediately implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$, $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_{2n})$, $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_{2n})$ and $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{(a_ib_j - a_jb_i)(c_id_j - c_jd_i)}{(x_i - x_j)(1 - tx_ix_j)}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}$$
$$= \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; A, B)V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; C, D)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i - x_j)(1 - tx_ix_j)}. \tag{3.3}$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{a_ib_j - a_jb_i}{1 - tx_ix_j}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; A, B)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(1 - tx_ix_j)}. \quad \square \tag{3.4}$$

In the latter half of this section we show that we can derive Sundquist's Pfaffian identities from ours. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ and $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables and let S_{2n} act on each by permuting indices. For compositions α and β of length n, we write

$$a_{\alpha,\beta}(X; A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma\left(a_1 x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n x_n^{\alpha_n} x_{n+1}^{\beta_1} \cdots x_{2n}^{\beta_n}\right).$$

This is to say

$$a_{\alpha,\beta}(X; A) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 x_1^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & a_1 x_1^{\alpha_n} & x_1^{\beta_1} & \cdots & x_1^{\beta_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{2n} x_{2n}^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & a_{2n} x_{2n}^{\alpha_n} & x_{2n}^{\beta_1} & \cdots & x_{2n}^{\beta_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

7

Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all partitions of the form $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r | \alpha_1 + 1, \ldots, \alpha_r + 1)$ in Frobenius notation with $\alpha_r \leq n - 1$. For example,

$$\mathcal{P}_4 = \{\emptyset, 1^2, 21^2, 2^3, 31^3, 32^2 1, 3^2 2^2, 3^4\}.$$

We put

$$U^n(X; A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} a_{\lambda,\mu}(X; A).$$

Theorem 3.4. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ and $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$U^n(X; A) = V^n(X, \mathbf{1} - X^2; A, \mathbf{1}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathbf{1} - X^2 = (1 - x_1^2, \ldots, 1 - x_{2n}^2)$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$.

From Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 we obtain the following Pfaffian identities which are obtained in [23] (See [23], Theorem 2.1).

Corollary 3.5. (Sundquist)

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{a_i - a_j}{x_i + x_j}\right]_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{a_{2\delta_n, 2\delta_n}(X; A)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}(x_i + x_j)} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{a_i - a_j}{1 + x_i x_j}\right]_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} = \frac{U^n(X; A)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n}(1 + x_i x_j)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Now we state the proofs of our theorems. Before we prove Theorem 3.1, we need two lemmas. Let n and r be integers such that $2n \geq r \geq 0$. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a $2n$-tuple of variables and let $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq 2n$ be a sequence of integers. Let $X^{(k_1, \ldots, k_r)}$ denote the $(2n - r)$-tuple of variables obtained by removing the variables $x_{k_1}, \ldots, x_{k_r}$ from X_{2n}.

Lemma 3.6. Let n be a positive integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ and $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Let k, l be any integers such that $1 \leq k < l \leq 2n$. Then

$$V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_l = x_k} = (-1)^{k+l+n}(a_k - a_l)$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k, l}}^{2n} (x_i - x_k) \cdot V^{n-1}(X^{(k,l)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,l)}; A^{(k,l)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,l)}),$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the $2n$-tuple $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k = 2n - 1$ and $l = 2n$. From the definition, $V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})$ is in the form of

$$\det\left(\begin{cases} a_i x_i^{n-j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ x_i^{2n-j} & \text{if } n + 1 \leq j \leq 2n. \end{cases}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}.$$

For example, when $n = 3$, if we substitute $x_6 = x_5$ into this determinant, we obtain

$$V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_6 = x_5} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 x_1^2 & a_1 x_1 & a_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ a_2 x_2^2 & a_2 x_2 & a_2 & x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ a_3 x_3^2 & a_3 x_3 & a_3 & x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \\ a_4 x_4^2 & a_4 x_4 & a_4 & x_4^2 & x_4 & 1 \\ a_5 x_5^2 & a_5 x_5 & a_5 & x_5^2 & x_5 & 1 \\ a_6 x_5^2 & a_6 x_5 & a_6 & x_5^2 & x_5 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

8

First subtract the last row from the second last row, and next factor out $(a_{2n-1} - a_{2n})$ from the second last row. Then, subtract a_{2n} times the second last row from the last row. Thus we obtain

$$V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_{2n}=x_{2n-1}} = (a_{2n-1} - a_{2n})$$

$$\times \det \left(\begin{cases} a_i x_i^{n-j} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le 2n-2 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le n, \\ x_i^{2n-j} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le 2n-2 \text{ and } n+1 \le j \le 2n, \\ x_i^{n-j} & \text{if } i = 2n-1 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 2n-1 \text{ and } n+1 \le j \le 2n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 2n \text{ and } 1 \le j \le n, \\ x_i^{2n-j} & \text{if } i = 2n \text{ and } n+1 \le j \le 2n. \end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i, j \le 2n}.$$

If we use our example, then the matrix after this transformations looks like

$$V^3(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_6=x_5} = (a_5 - a_6) \begin{vmatrix} a_1 x_1^2 & a_1 x_1 & a_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ a_2 x_2^2 & a_2 x_2 & a_2 & x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ a_3 x_3^2 & a_3 x_3 & a_3 & x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \\ a_4 x_4^2 & a_4 x_4 & a_4 & x_4^2 & x_4 & 1 \\ x_5^2 & x_5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_5^2 & x_5 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now subtract x_{2n-1} times the second column from the first column, then subtract x_{2n-1} times the third column from the second column, and so on, until we subtract x_{2n-1} times the nth column from the $(n-1)$th column. Next subtract x_{2n-1} times the $(n+2)$th column from the $(n+1)$th column, then subtract x_{2n-1} times the $(n+3)$th column from the $(n+2)$th column, and so on, until we subtract x_{2n-1} times the $2n$th column from the $(2n-1)$th column. If we expand the resulting determinant along the last two rows and factor out $(x_i - x_{2n})$ from the ith row for $1 \le i \le 2n-2$, then we obtain

$$V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_{2n}=x_{2n-1}} = (-1)^{n+1}(a_{2n-1} - a_{2n}) \prod_{i=1}^{2n-2} (x_i - x_{2n-1})$$

$$\times V^{n-1}(X^{(2n-1,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(2n-1,2n)}; A^{(2n-1,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(2n-1,2n)}),$$

and this proves our lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. Let n be a positive integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$, $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ and $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Then the following identity holds.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne k}}^{2n-1}(x_k - x_i)}{x_k - x_{2n}} (a_k - a_{2n})(c_k - c_{2n})$$

$$\times V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; A^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}) V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; C^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)})$$

$$= \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1}) V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n-1}(x_i - x_{2n})}.$$

Here $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the $2n$-tuples $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove this lemma as an identity for a rational function in the complex variable x_{2n}. Further we may assume x_1, ..., x_{2n-1} are distinct complex numbers. Denote by $F(x_{2n})$ the left-hand

side, and by $G(x_{2n})$ the right-hand side. Under this assumption $F(x_{2n})$ and $G(x_{2n})$ have only simple poles as singularities, and these simple poles reside at $x_{2n} = x_k$ for $1 \le k \le 2n-1$. First we want to show that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2n}=x_k} F(x_{2n}) = \operatorname{Res}_{x_{2n}=x_k} G(x_{2n}).$$

The residue of the function $F(x_{2n})$ at $x_{2n} = x_k$ is

$$\lim_{x_{2n} \to x_k} (x_{2n} - x_k) F(x_{2n})$$

$$= -(a_k - a_{2n})(c_k - c_{2n}) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne k}}^{2n-1} (x_k - x_i)$$

$$\times V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; A^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}) V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; C^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}).$$

On the other hand, the residue of the function $G(x_{2n})$ at $x_{2n} = x_k$ is

$$\lim_{x_{2n} \to x_k} (x_{2n} - x_k) G(x_{2n}) = - \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_{2n}=x_k} V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; C, \mathbf{1})\Big|_{x_{2n}=x_k}}{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne k}}^{2n-1} (x_i - x_k)}.$$

By Lemma 3.6, we see that $\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2n}=x_k} G(x_{2n})$ is equal to $\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2n}=x_k} F(x_{2n})$. Thus it is shown that the principal part of $F(x_{2n})$ at each singularity coincides with that of $G(x_{2n})$. Also it is clear that $\lim_{x_{2n} \to \infty} F(x_{2n}) = \lim_{x_{2n} \to \infty} G(x_{2n}) = 0$. Hence we have $F(x_{2n}) = G(x_{2n})$. $\square$

Now we are in the position to prove the first theorem in this section.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First we prove (3.1) when $Y = B = D = \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, and deduce the general case to this special case. Thus our first claim is

$$\operatorname{Pf} \left[\frac{(a_i - a_j)(c_i - c_j)}{x_i - x_j} \right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1}) V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (x_i - x_j)}. \quad (3.8)$$

We proceed by induction on n. When $n = 1$, it is trivial since $V^1(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1}) = a_1 - a_2$ and $V^1(X, \mathbf{1}; C, \mathbf{1}) = c_1 - c_2$. Assume $n \ge 2$ and the identity holds up to $(n-1)$. Expanding the Pfaffian along the last row/column and using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Pf} \left[\frac{(a_i - a_j)(c_i - c_j)}{x_i - x_j} \right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(a_k - a_{2n})(c_k - c_{2n})}{x_k - x_{2n}}$$

$$\times \frac{V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; A^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}) V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; C^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)})}{\prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le 2n-1 \\ i,j \ne k}} (x_i - x_j)}.$$

Substituting $\displaystyle\prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le 2n-1 \\ i,j \ne k}} (x_i - x_j) = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n-1} (x_i - x_j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne k}}^{2n-1} (x_k - x_i)}$ into this

10

identity, we have

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{(a_i - a_j)(c_i - c_j)}{x_i - x_j}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\displaystyle\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n-1}(x_i - x_j)} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1}(a_k - a_{2n})(c_k - c_{2n}) \cdot \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \ne k}}^{2n-1}(x_k - x_i)}{x_k - x_{2n}}$$

$$\times V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; A^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}) V^{n-1}(X^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}; C^{(k,2n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k,2n)}).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.7, this equals

$$\frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1}) V^n(X, \mathbf{1}; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i - x_j)},$$

and this proves (3.8). The general identity (3.1) is an easy consequence of (3.8) by substituting $\frac{x_i}{y_i}$ into x_i, $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ into b_i and $\frac{c_i}{d_i}$ into c_i for $1 \le i \le 2n$ in the both sides of (3.8). In fact, if we write X/Y for $(x_1/y_1, \ldots, x_{2n}/y_{2n})$, A/B for $(a_1/b_1, \ldots, a_{2n}/b_{2n})$, and C/D for $(c_1/d_1, \ldots, c_{2n}/d_{2n})$, then we have

$$V^n(X/Y, \mathbf{1}; A/B, \mathbf{1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{-n+1} \cdot V^n(X, Y; A, B)$$

$$V^n(X/Y, \mathbf{1}; C/D, \mathbf{1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} d_i^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{-n+1} \cdot V^n(X, Y; C, D).$$

Since the denominator of the right-hand side of (3.8) is

$$\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i/y_i - x_j/y_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{-2n+1} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_j & y_j \end{vmatrix},$$

the right-hand side of (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{V^n(X/Y, \mathbf{1}; A/B, \mathbf{1}) V^n(X/Y, \mathbf{1}; C/D, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i/y_i - x_j/y_j)}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} d_i^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} y_i \cdot \frac{V^n(X, Y; A, B) V^n(X, Y; C, D)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_j & y_j \end{vmatrix}}$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side of (3.8) becomes

$$\mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{(\frac{a_i}{b_i} - \frac{a_j}{b_j})(\frac{c_i}{d_i} - \frac{c_j}{d_j})}{\frac{x_i}{y_i} - \frac{x_j}{y_j}}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = \prod_{i=1}^{2n}\left(b_i^{-1} d_i^{-1} y_i\right) \cdot \mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ a_j & b_j \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} c_i & d_i \\ c_j & d_j \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_j & y_j \end{vmatrix}}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}.$$

and this proves (3.1). □

Next we give a proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. From definition, $V^n(X, \mathbf{1}+tX^2; X, \mathbf{1})$ is equal to

$$\det\left(\begin{cases} x_i^{n-j+1}(1 + tx_i^2)^{j-1} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n, \\ x_i^{2n-j}(1 + tx_i^2)^{j-n-1} & \text{if } n+1 \le j \le 2n. \end{cases}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2n}.$$

11

For example, if $n = 3$, then $V^3(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; X, \mathbf{1})$ is equal to

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
x_1^3 & x_1^2(1+tx_1^2) & x_1(1+tx_1^2)^2 & x_1^2 & x_1(1+tx_1^2) & (1+tx_1^2)^2 \\
x_2^3 & x_2^2(1+tx_2^2) & x_2(1+tx_2^2)^2 & x_2^2 & x_2(1+tx_2^2) & (1+tx_2^2)^2 \\
x_3^3 & x_3^2(1+tx_3^2) & x_3(1+tx_3^2)^2 & x_3^2 & x_3(1+tx_3^2) & (1+tx_3^2)^2 \\
x_4^3 & x_4^2(1+tx_4^2) & x_4(1+tx_4^2)^2 & x_4^2 & x_4(1+tx_4^2) & (1+tx_4^2)^2 \\
x_5^3 & x_5^2(1+tx_5^2) & x_5(1+tx_5^2)^2 & x_5^2 & x_5(1+tx_5^2) & (1+tx_5^2)^2 \\
x_6^3 & x_6^2(1+tx_6^2) & x_6(1+tx_6^2)^2 & x_6^2 & x_6(1+tx_6^2) & (1+tx_6^2)^2
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

By expanding $(1+tx_i^2)^{j-1}$ and $(1+tx_i^2)^{j-n-1}$, and performing appropriate elementary column transformations, this determinant becomes

$$
\det \left(\begin{cases}
x_i^n & \text{if } j = 1, \\
x_i^{n+1-j} + t^{j-1}x_i^{j+n-1} & \text{if } 2 \le j \le n, \\
x_i^{n-1} & \text{if } j = 2n+1, \\
x_i^{2n-j} + t^{j-n-1}x_i^{j-2} & \text{if } n+2 \le j \le 2n.
\end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i, j \le 2n}.
$$

For example, if $n = 3$, then this determinant equals

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
x_1^3 & x_1^2+tx_1^4 & x_1+t^2x_1^5 & x_1^2 & x_1+tx_1^3 & 1+t^2x_1^4 \\
x_2^3 & x_2^2+tx_2^4 & x_2+t^2x_2^5 & x_2^2 & x_2+tx_2^3 & 1+t^2x_2^4 \\
x_3^3 & x_3^2+tx_3^4 & x_3+t^2x_3^5 & x_3^2 & x_3+tx_3^3 & 1+t^2x_3^4 \\
x_4^3 & x_4^2+tx_4^4 & x_4+t^2x_4^5 & x_4^2 & x_4+tx_4^3 & 1+t^2x_4^4 \\
x_5^3 & x_5^2+tx_5^4 & x_5+t^2x_5^5 & x_5^2 & x_5+tx_5^3 & 1+t^2x_5^4 \\
x_6^3 & x_6^2+tx_6^4 & x_6+t^2x_6^5 & x_6^2 & x_6+tx_6^3 & 1+t^2x_6^4
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

We subtract t times the first column from the $(n+2)$th column, and subtract the $(n+1)$th column from the second column. Then we subtract t times the second column from the $(n+3)$th column, and subtract the $(n+2)$th column from the third column. We continue this transformation until we subtract t times the $(n-1)$th column from the $2n$th column, and subtract the $(2n-1)$th column from the nth column. Thus we obtain

$$
V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; X, \mathbf{1}) = \left(\begin{cases}
t^{j-1}x_i^{j+n-1} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n, \\
x_i^{2n-j} & \text{if } n+1 \le j \le 2n,
\end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i, j \le 2n}.
$$

If we illustrate by the above example, then this determinant looks like

$$
\begin{vmatrix}
x_1^3 & tx_1^4 & t^2x_1^5 & x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\
x_2^3 & tx_2^4 & t^2x_2^5 & x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\
x_3^3 & tx_3^4 & t^2x_3^5 & x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \\
x_4^3 & tx_4^4 & t^2x_4^5 & x_4^2 & x_4 & 1 \\
x_5^3 & tx_5^4 & t^2x_5^5 & x_5^2 & x_5 & 1 \\
x_6^3 & tx_6^4 & t^2x_6^5 & x_6^2 & x_6 & 1
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

By the Vandermonde determinant, we can easily conclude that

$$
V^n(X, \mathbf{1} + tX^2; X, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{0+1+2+\cdots+(n-1)} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (x_i - x_j).
$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. So, in the rest of this section we derive Corollary 3.5 from Theorem 3.1. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the Laplace expansion formula and the Littlewood formula:

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 + x_i x_j), \tag{3.9}
$$

12

(see [15] I.5. Ex.9). Let $\binom{S}{r}$ denote the set of all r-element subsets of S for any finite set S and a non-negative integer r.

Proposition 3.8. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ and $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ be $2n$-tuples of variables. Then we have

$$
U^n(X; A) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[2n]}{n}} (-1)^{|I| + \binom{n+1}{2}} a_I \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)(1 + x_i x_j)
$$
$$
\times \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I^c \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)(1 + x_i x_j),
$$

where $I^c = [2n] \setminus I$ is the complementary set of I in $[2n]$ and $a_I = \prod_{i \in I} a_i$ for any subset $I \subseteq [2n]$.

Proof. We write $\Delta(X_I) = \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)$. By the Laplace expansion formula we have

$$
a_{\lambda, \mu}(X; A) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[2n]}{n}} (-1)^{|I| + \binom{n+1}{2}} a_I \Delta(X_I) \Delta(X_{I^c}) s_\lambda(X_I) s_\mu(X_{I^c}),
$$

where X_I stands for the n-tuple of variables with index set I and X_{I^c} stands for the n-tuple of variables with index set I^c, and $|I| = \sum_{i \in I} i$. By (3.9) we easily obtain the desired formula. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. As before we apply the Laplace expansion formula to $V^n(X, \mathbf{1} - X^2; A, \mathbf{1})$ to obtain

$$
V^n(X, \mathbf{1} - X^2; A, \mathbf{1}) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[2n]}{n}} (-1)^{|I| + \binom{n+1}{2}} a_I \det \Delta^I(M) \det \Delta^{I^c}(M),
$$

where $M = \left(x_i^{n-j}(1 - x_i^2)^{j-1} \right)_{1 \le i \le 2n, \, 1 \le j \le n}$. By the Vandermonde determinant, we obtain

$$
\det \Delta^I(M) = \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} \left\{ x_i(1 - x_j^2) - x_j(1 - x_i^2) \right\}
$$
$$
= \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)(1 + x_i x_j).
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
V^n(X, \mathbf{1} - X^2; A, \mathbf{1}) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[2n]}{n}} (-1)^{|I| + \binom{n+1}{2}} a_I \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)(1 + x_i x_j)
$$
$$
\times \prod_{\substack{i,j \in I^c \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j)(1 + x_i x_j).
$$

Thus, by Proposition 3.8, we complete our proof. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3.5. If we substitute x_i^2 into x_i, x_i into c_i, and 1 into y_i, b_i and d_i for $1 \le i \le 2n$ in (3.1), then we obtain

$$
\mathrm{Pf} \left[\frac{a_i - a_j}{x_i + x_j} \right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = \frac{V^n(X^2, \mathbf{1}; A, \mathbf{1}) V^n(X^2, \mathbf{1}; X, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (x_i^2 - x_j^2)},
$$

13

where $X^2 = (x_1^2, \ldots, x_{2n}^2)$. By Proposition 3.2

$$V^n(X^2, \mathbf{1}; X, \mathbf{1}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (x_i - x_j)$$

and this proves (3.6).

Next we state a proof of another identity. Substituting $t = -1$ and $b_i = 1$ for $1 \le i \le 2n$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Pf} \left[\frac{a_i - a_j}{1 + x_i x_j} \right]_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} = \frac{V^n(X, \mathbf{1} - X^2; A, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n} (1 + x_i x_j)}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.4, we immediately obtain the desired identity. This completes the proof. □

4   A Proof of Stanley's Open Problem

The key idea of our proof is the following proposition, which the reader can find in [19], Exercise 7.7, or [22], Section 3.

Proposition 4.1. Let $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ be a symmetric function with infinite variables. Then $f \in \mathbb{Q}[p_\lambda :$ all parts $\lambda_i > 0$ are odd] if and only if

$$f(t, -t, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots).\square$$

Our strategy is simple. If we set $v_n(X_{2n})$ to be

$$\log z_n(X_{2n}) - \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{2k} a^k (b^k - c^k) p_{2k}(X_{2n}) - \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{4k} a^k b^k c^k d^k p_{2k}(X_{2n})^2 \tag{4.1}$$

then we claim it satisfies

$$v_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n}) = v_n(X_{2n}). \tag{4.2}$$

This will eventually prove Theorem 1.1. As an immediate consequence of (2.4), (2.5) and (3.4), we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a 2n-tuple of variables. Then

$$z_n(X_{2n}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{V^n(X^2, \mathbf{1} + abcdX^4; X + aX^2, \mathbf{1} - a(b+c)X^2 - abcX^3)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1 - abx_i^2) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i - x_j)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $X^2 = (x_1^2, \ldots, x_{2n}^2)$, $\mathbf{1} + abcdX^4 = (1 + abcdx_1^4, \ldots, 1 + abcdx_{2n}^4)$, $X + aX^2 = (x_1 + ax_1^2, \ldots, x_{2n} + ax_{2n}^2)$ and $\mathbf{1} - a(b+c)X^2 - abcX^3 = (1 - a(b+c)x_1^2 - abcx_1^3, \ldots, 1 - a(b+c)x_{2n}^2 - abcx_{2n}^3)$. □

The (4.3) is key expression to prove that $v_n(X_{2n})$ satisfies (4.2). Once one knows (4.3), then it is straight forward computation to prove Stanley's open problem. The following proposition is the first step.

Proposition 4.3. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a 2n-tuple of variables. Put

$$f_n(X_{2n}) = V^n(X^2, \mathbf{1} + abcdX^4; X + aX^2, \mathbf{1} - a(b+c)X^2 - abcX^3).$$

Then $f_n(X_{2n})$ satisfies

$$f_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n})$$
$$= (-1)^n 2t(1 - abt^2)(1 - act^2) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (t^2 - x_i^2) \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (1 - abcdt^2 x_i^2) \cdot f_n(X_{2n}). \tag{4.4}$$

14

Proof. First, we put $\xi_i = x_i^2$, $\eta_i = 1 + abcd x_i^4$, $\alpha_i = x_i + ax_i^2$, $\beta_i = 1 - a(b+c)x_i^2 - abc x_i^3$ and $\zeta_i = \xi_i^{-1}\eta_i = x_i^{-2} + abcd x_i^2$ for $1 \le i \le 2n$. Then

$$
f_{n+1}(X_{2n+2}) = \det \left(\begin{cases} \alpha_i \xi_i^{n+1-j}\eta_i^{j-1} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n+1, \\ \beta_i \xi_i^{2n+2-j}\eta_i^{j-n-2} & \text{if } n+2 \le j \le 2n+2. \end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2n+2},
$$

$$
= \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \xi_i^n \cdot \det \left(\begin{cases} \alpha_i \zeta_i^{j-1} & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n+1, \\ \beta_i \zeta_i^{j-n-2} & \text{if } n+2 \le j \le 2n+2. \end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2n+2}.
$$

For example, if $n = 2$ then $f_3(X_6)$ looks as follows:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \xi_i^2 \cdot \begin{vmatrix}
\alpha_1 & \alpha_1\zeta_1 & \alpha_1\zeta_1^2 & \beta_1 & \beta_1\zeta_1 & \beta_1\zeta_1^2 \\
\alpha_2 & \alpha_2\zeta_2 & \alpha_2\zeta_2^2 & \beta_2 & \beta_2\zeta_2 & \beta_2\zeta_2^2 \\
\alpha_3 & \alpha_3\zeta_3 & \alpha_3\zeta_3^2 & \beta_3 & \beta_3\zeta_3 & \beta_3\zeta_3^2 \\
\alpha_4 & \alpha_4\zeta_4 & \alpha_4\zeta_4^2 & \beta_4 & \beta_4\zeta_4 & \beta_4\zeta_4^2 \\
\alpha_5 & \alpha_5\zeta_5 & \alpha_5\zeta_5^2 & \beta_5 & \beta_5\zeta_5 & \beta_5\zeta_5^2 \\
\alpha_6 & \alpha_6\zeta_6 & \alpha_6\zeta_6^2 & \beta_6 & \beta_6\zeta_6 & \beta_6\zeta_6^2
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

Now we subtract ζ_1 times the nth column from the $(n+1)$th column, then subtract ζ_1 times the $(n-1)$th column from the nth column, and so on, until we subtract ζ_1 times the first column from the second column. Next we subtract ζ_1 times the $(2n+1)$th column from the $(2n+2)$th column, then subtract ζ_1 times the $2n$th column from the $(2n+1)$th column, and so on, until we subtract ζ_1 times the $(n+2)$th column from the $(n+3)$th column. Thus we obtain $f_{n+1}(X_{2n+2})$ is equal to

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \xi_i^n \cdot \det \left(\begin{cases}
\alpha_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 1, \\
\beta_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j = n+2, \\
0 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j \ne 1, n+2, \\
\alpha_i \zeta_i^{j-2}(\zeta_i - \zeta_1) & \text{if } i \ge 2 \text{ and } 1 \le j \le n+1, \\
\beta_i \zeta_i^{j-n-3}(\zeta_i - \zeta_1) & \text{if } i \ge 2 \text{ and } n+2 \le j \le 2n+2.
\end{cases} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2n+2}.
$$

If we illustrate in the above example, then this determinant looks

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2n} \xi_i^2 \cdot \begin{vmatrix}
\alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_2 & \alpha_2(\zeta_2-\zeta_1) & \alpha_2\zeta_2(\zeta_2-\zeta_1) & \beta_2 & \beta_2(\zeta_2-\zeta_1) & \beta_2\zeta_2(\zeta_2-\zeta_1) \\
\alpha_3 & \alpha_3(\zeta_3-\zeta_1) & \alpha_3\zeta_3(\zeta_3-\zeta_1) & \beta_3 & \beta_3(\zeta_3-\zeta_1) & \beta_3\zeta_3(\zeta_3-\zeta_1) \\
\alpha_4 & \alpha_4(\zeta_4-\zeta_1) & \alpha_4\zeta_4(\zeta_4-\zeta_1) & \beta_4 & \beta_4(\zeta_4-\zeta_1) & \beta_4\zeta_4(\zeta_4-\zeta_1) \\
\alpha_5 & \alpha_5(\zeta_5-\zeta_1) & \alpha_5\zeta_5(\zeta_5-\zeta_1) & \beta_5 & \beta_5(\zeta_5-\zeta_1) & \beta_5\zeta_5(\zeta_5-\zeta_1) \\
\alpha_6 & \alpha_6(\zeta_6-\zeta_1) & \alpha_6\zeta_6(\zeta_6-\zeta_1) & \beta_6 & \beta_6(\zeta_6-\zeta_1) & \beta_6\zeta_6(\zeta_6-\zeta_1)
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

Here, if we assume $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ and $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$ hold, then $f_{n+1}(X_{2n+2})$ is equal to

$$
(-1)^n \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{vmatrix} \cdot \prod_{i=3}^{2n+2} \begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 & \eta_1 \\ \xi_i & \eta_i \end{vmatrix} \cdot f_n(x_3, \dots, x_{2n+2}).
$$

Now we substitute $X_{2n+2} = (t, -t, X_{2n})$ into this identity, then, since we have $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = t^2$, $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = t^{-2} + abcd t^2$ and

$$
\begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 & \eta_1 \\ \xi_i & \eta_i \end{vmatrix} = (t^2 - x_i^2)(1 - abcd x_i^2),
$$

$$
\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{vmatrix} = 2t(1 - abt^2)(1 - act^2),
$$

15

thus we obtain

$$f_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n}) = (-1)^n \cdot 2t(1 - abt^2)(1 - act^2)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{2n}(t^2 - x_i^2)(1 - abcdt^2 x_i^2) \cdot f_n(X_{2n}).$$

This proves our proposition. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. Let $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ be a $2n$-tuple of variables. Then

$$z_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n}) = \frac{1 - act^2}{(1 - abt^2)(1 - abcdt^4)\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1 - abcdt^2 x_i^2)} z_n(X_{2n}). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 we have

$$z_n(X_{2n}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}\frac{f_n(X_{2n})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1 - abx_i^2)\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i - x_j)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

This implies

$$z_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n}) \quad = (-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}}\frac{1}{2t(1-abt^2)^2(1-abcdt^4)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(t^2-x_i^2)(1-abcdt^2 x_i^2)^2}$$

$$\times \frac{f_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(1-abx_i^2)\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 2n}(x_i - x_j)(1 - abcdx_i^2 x_j^2)}.$$

Thus, substituting (4.4), we obtain the desired identity. $\square$

Now we are in the position to complete our proof of Stanley's open problem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (4.5) immediately implies

$$\log z_{n+1}(t, -t, X_{2n}) \quad = \log z_n(X_{2n}) + \log \frac{1}{1-abt^2} - \log \frac{1}{1-act^2}$$

$$+ \log \frac{1}{1-abcdt^4} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \log \frac{1}{1-abcdt^2 x_i^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, $p_{2k}(t, -t, X_{2n}) = 2t^{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i^{2k}$ implies

$$\sum_{k \ge 1}\frac{a^n(b^n - c^n)}{2k}p_{2k}(t, -t, X_{2n})$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 1}\frac{a^n(b^n - c^n)}{2k}p_{2k}(X_{2n}) + \log \frac{1}{1 - abt^2} - \log \frac{1}{1 - act^2},$$

$$\sum_{k \ge 1}\frac{a^n b^n c^n d^n}{4k}p_{2k}(t, -t, X_{2n})^2$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 1}\frac{a^n b^n c^n d^n}{4k}p_{2k}(X_{2n})^2 + \log \frac{1}{1 - abcdt^4} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \log \frac{1}{1 - abcdt^2 x_i^2}.$$

Thus, putting $v_n(X_{2n})$ as in (4.1), we easily find $v_n(X_{2n})$ satisfies (4.2) from (4.6). This completes our proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

16

5 Corollaries

The author tried to find an analogous formula when the sum runs over all distinct partitions by computer experiments using Stembridge's SF package (cf. [2] and [3]). But the author could not find any conceivable formula when the sum runs over all distinct partitions, and, instead, found the following formula involving the big Schur functions and certain symmetric functions arising from the Macdonald polynomials as byproducts. But, later, Prof. R. Stanley and Prof. A. Lascoux independently pointed out these are derived from Theorem 1.1 as corollaries. Let $S_\lambda(x;t) = \det(q_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x;t))_{1 \le i, j \le \ell(\lambda)}$ denote the big Schur function corresponding to the partition λ, where $q_r(x;t) = Q_{(r)}(x;t)$ denotes the Hall-Littlewood function (See [15], III, sec.2).

Corollary 5.1. Let

$$Z(x;t) = \sum_\lambda \omega(\lambda) S_\lambda(x;t),$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions λ. Then we have

$$\log Z(x;t) - \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{2n} a^n (b^n - c^n)(1 - t^{2n}) p_{2n} - \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{4n} a^n b^n c^n d^n (1 - t^{2n})^2 p_{2n}^2$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots]].$$
$$(5.1)$$

Proof. This proof was originally suggested by Prof. R. Stanley. Let Λ_x denote the ring of symmetric functions in countably many variables x_1, x_2, ... (For details see [15], I, sec.2. Let θ_x be the ring homomorphism $\Lambda_x \to \Lambda_x[t]$ taking $h_n(x)$ to $q_n(x;t)$. By the Jacobi-Trudi identity we have

$$s_\lambda(x) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x)). \qquad (5.2)$$

(cf. [15], I, sec.2 (3.4).) Applying θ_x to the both sides, and using the definition of the big Schur $S_\lambda(x;t) = \det(q_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x;t))$ ([15], III, sec.4, (4.5)), we obtain

$$\theta_x(s_\lambda(x)) = S_\lambda(x;t).$$

By taking logarithms of

$$\sum_\lambda S_\lambda(x;t) s_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1 - t x_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j},$$

([15], III, sec.4, (4.7)), the product on the right-hand side is

$$\exp \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} (1 - t^n) p_n(x) p_n(y).$$

Similarly, by taking logarithms of the right-hand side of

$$\sum_\lambda s_\lambda(x) s_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} \qquad (5.3)$$

([15], I, sec4, (4.3)), we have

$$\sum_\lambda s_\lambda(x) s_\lambda(y) = \exp \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} p_n(x) p_n(y),$$

and it follows that $\theta(p_n) = (1 - t^n) p_n$. The identity (5.1) now follows by applying θ to equation (1.1). $\square$

This corollary is generalized to the two parameter polynomials defined by I. G. Macdonald. Define

$$T_\lambda(x;q,t) = \det\left(Q_{(\lambda_i-i+j)}(x;q,t)\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq\ell(\lambda)}$$

where $Q_\lambda(x;q,t)$ stands for the Macdonald polynomial corresponding to the partition λ, and $Q_{(r)}(x;q,t)$ is the one corresponding to the one row partition (r) (See [15], IV, sec.4).

Corollary 5.2. Let

$$Z(x;q,t) = \sum_\lambda \omega(\lambda)T_\lambda(x;q,t),$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions λ. Then we have

$$\log Z(x;q,t) - \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{2n}a^n(b^n-c^n)\frac{1-t^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}p_{2n} - \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{4n}a^nb^nc^nd^n\frac{(1-t^{2n})^2}{(1-q^{2n})^2}p_{2n}^2$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1,p_3,p_5,\dots]].$$
$$(5.4)$$

Proof. The proof proceeds almost parallel to that of Corollary 5.1 except that we define the ring homomorphism $\theta:\Lambda\to\Lambda(t,q)$ by $\theta(h_n) = g_n(x;q,t)$. Here we write $g_n(x;q,t) = Q_{(n)}(x;q,t)$, following the notation in [15]. Since

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}g_n(x;q,t)y^n = \prod_{i\geq 1}\frac{(tx_iy;q)_\infty}{(x_iy;q)_\infty},$$

([15], VI, sec.2 (2.8)), if we introduce a set of fictitious variables ξ_i by

$$\frac{(tx_iy;q)_\infty}{(x_iy;q)_\infty} = \prod_{i\geq 1}(1-\xi_iy)^{-1},$$

then we have $g_r(x;t) = h_r(\xi)$, and therefore, by Jacobi-Trudi identity (5.2), this implies $T_\lambda(x;t) = s_\lambda(\xi)$. By (5.3) we obtain

$$\sum_\lambda T_\lambda(x;q,t)s_\lambda(x) = \prod_{i,j\geq 1}\frac{(tx_iy_j;q)_\infty}{(x_iy_j;q)_\infty}.$$

The rest of the arguments is almost the same as in the proof of Corollary 5.1. □

Remark 5.3. Prof. A. Lascoux said that Corollary 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 are obtained as corollaries of Theorem 1.1 by λ-ring arguments. We cite his comment here. What we need to do is to modify the argument of the symmetric functions. For Corollary 5.1, we pass the argument X to $X(1-t) = X-tX$. For Corollary 5.2, we use $X(1-t)/(1-q)$. This defines two transformations on the complete symmetric functions, and therefore transformations on all the other functions. In particular, for the power sums, it transforms $p_k \to (1-t^k)p_k$ or $p_k \to (1-t^k)p_k/(1-q^k)$. Any identity on symmetric functions which is valid for an infinite alphabet X remains valid for $X(1-t)$ and $X(1-t)/(1-q)$ and thus Theorem 1.1 implies Corollary 5.1 and Corollary 5.2. About the λ-rings, the reader can consult [14].

We also checked the Hall-Littlewood functions case, and could not find a formula for the general case, but found some nice formulas if we substitute -1 for t.

Conjecture 5.4. Let

$$w(x;t) = \sum_\lambda \omega(\lambda) P_\lambda(x;t),$$

where $P_\lambda(x;t)$ denote the Hall-Littlewood function corresponding to the partition λ, and the sum runs over all partitions λ. Then

$$\log w(x;-1) + \sum_{n \geq 1 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{2n} a^n c^n p_{2n} + \sum_{n \geq 2 \text{ even}} \frac{1}{2n} a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}} (a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}} - 2 b^{\frac{n}{2}} d^{\frac{n}{2}}) p_{2n}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots]]$$

would hold. □

We might replace the Hall-Littlewood functions $P_\lambda(x;t)$ by the Macdonald polynomials $P_\lambda(x;q,t)$ in this conjecture. Let $P_\lambda(x;q,t)$ denote the Macdonald polynomial corresponding to the partition λ (See [15], IV, sec.4).

Conjecture 5.5. Let

$$w(x;q,t) = \sum_\lambda \omega(\lambda) P_\lambda(x;q,t).$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions λ. Then

$$\log w(x;q,-1) + \sum_{n \geq 1 \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{2n} a^n c^n p_{2n} + \sum_{n \geq 2 \text{ even}} \frac{1}{2n} a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}} (a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}} - 2 b^{\frac{n}{2}} d^{\frac{n}{2}}) p_{2n}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[[p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots]]$$

would hold. □

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, "On a Partition Function of Richard Stanley", *Electron. J. Combin.* **11** (2) (2004), R1.

[2] C.E. Boulet, "A four-parameter partition identity", `arXiv:math.CO/0308012`, to appear in Ramanujan J.

[3] C.E. Boulet, "A four-parameter partition identity", *Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Vancouver 2004)*, extended abstract.

[4] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory, a first course*, Springer-Verlag (1991).

[5] M. Ishikawa, H. Kawamuko and S. Okada, "A Pfaffian-Hafnian analogue of Borchardt's identity", *Electron. J. Combin.* **12**(1), (2005) N9, `arXiv:math.CO/0408364`.

[6] M. Ishikawa, S. Okada and M. Wakayama, "Applications of minor summation formulas I, Littlewood's formulas", *J. Alg.* **208** (1998), 480–525.

[7] M. Ishikawa, H. Tagawa, S. Okada and J. Zeng, "Generalizations of Cauchy's determinant and Schur's Pfaffian", `arXiv:math.CO/0411280`, to appear in Adv. in Appl. Math.

[8] M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, "Minor summation formula of Pfaffians", *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **39** (1995), 285-305.

[9] M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, "Applications of minor summation formula III, Plücker relations, lattice paths and Pfaffian identities", `arXiv:math.CO/0312358`, to appear in J. Combin. Theory Ser. A.

[10] M. Ishikawa and J. Zeng, "The Andrews-Stanley Partition functions and Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials", `arXiv:math.CO/0506128`.

[11] D.E. Knuth, "Overlapping pfaffians", *Electronic J. of Combi.* **3**, 151–163.

[12] C. Krattenthaler, "Advanced determinant calculus', *Seminaire Lotharingien Combin.* **42** *("The Andrews Festschrift")* (1999), Article B42q, 67.

[13] G. Kuperberg, "Symmetry classes of alternating-sign matrices under one roof", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **156** (2002), 835-866.

[14] A. Lascoux, *Symmetric functions & Combinatorial operators on polynomials*, CBMS/AMS Lectures Notes **99**, (2003).

[15] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd Edition*, Oxford University Press, (1995).

[16] S. Okada, *Applications of minor-summation formulas to rectangular-shaped representations of classical groups*, J. Alg. **205** (1998), 337–367

[17] S. Okada, "Enumeration of symmetry classes of alternating sign matrices and characters of classical groups", `arXiv:math.CO/0308234`.

[18] S. Okada, Determinant and Pfaffian formulae of Cauchy type and their applications, in "Aspects of Combinatorial Representation Theory", Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku **1382** (2004), 198–215 (in Japanese).

[19] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics, Volume II*, Cambridge University Press, (1999).

[20] R.P. Stanley, "Open problem", *International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Vadstena 2003)*, June 23 - 27, 2003, available from http://www-math.mit.edu∼rstan/trans.html.

[21] J. Stembridge, "Nonintersecting paths, Pfaffians and plane partitions", *Adv. Math.* **83** (1990), 96–131.

[22] J. Stembridge, "Enriched *P*-partitions", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 763–788.

[23] T. Sundquist "Two variable Pfaffian identities and symmetric functions" *J. Alg. Combin.* **5** (1996), 135–148.

Masao ISHIKAWA, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Tottori University, Tottori 680 8551, Japan
E-mail address: ishikawa@fed.tottori-u.ac.jp